



Public Document Pack

Cambridge City Council

SOUTH AREA COMMITTEE

Planning Update Sheet

9 PLANNING ITEMS *(Pages 1 - 4)*

SOUTH AREA COMMITTEE MEETING – 12th January 2011

Pre-Committee Amendment Sheet

PLANNING APPLICATIONS

CIRCULATION: First

ITEM: APPLICATION REF: 10/1003/FUL

Location: British Telecom, Long Road

Target Date: 30.11.2010

To Note: Nothing

Amendments To Text: None

Pre-Committee Amendments to Recommendation: None

DECISION:

CIRCULATION: First

ITEM: APPLICATION REF: **10/1148/FUL**

Location: **11 High Street, Cherry Hinton**

Target Date: 05.01.2011

To Note: Criticism has been made about the statement in the Committee report at paragraph 8.18 that the premises does not have any car parking; it is argued that there is parking provision to the far side of the adjacent shop adjacent to 5 Mill End Road. While I accept that there is a space to the far side of the shop I do not think that it is a usable space for parking for takeaway customers of the premises. The access is narrow and gated, there is little space for a vehicle to turn around, and certainly not enough space for two vehicles to pass. I do not consider this to be a usable space and one that short-term visitors to the premises would be likely to use. If two vehicles were trying to exit/enter the site at the same time I think it could cause danger on the highway as one vehicle backed out of the site.

For information, the distance from this site to the Colville Road junction and the northern part of the local centre is 250 metres.

Amendments To Text: None

Pre-Committee Amendments to Recommendation: None

DECISION:

CIRCULATION: First

ITEM: APPLICATION REF: **10/0742/FUL**

Location: **2 Drayton Road**

Target Date: 19.10.2010

To Note:

Amendments To Text: In paragraph **2** there has been an error which has resulted in the proposal details of the (approved) Outline Planning Permission being pulled through. Please substitute the below information within the proposal section of the report. The assessment of the proposal is still accurate, and the recommendation remains unaltered.

“2.0 THE PROPOSAL

- 2.1 The application seeks Full Planning Permission for the creation of 2 two-bedroom flats to the northern end of the terrace. These are contained within a two storey extension to the existing terrace of properties, extending the row towards Drayton Road.
- 2.2 The proposed building largely echoes the style of the existing buildings along Drayton/Leete Road, and is of two storey form with a 2m metre deep single storey aspect to the rear, projecting beyond the rear of number 2 Drayton Road. The layout plans show that the footprint will largely echo the layout of the extension to the terrace found at the southern end on the corner of Malletts Road. The building is to be constructed in Red brick to match the existing properties in the vicinity, and features white UPVC doors and windows.
- 2.3 The primary access to the proposed flats are located on the Drayton Road elevation, with three north-facing windows. On the Leete Road elevation there is a small access to the rear of the properties, accessing an internal passageway alongside the existing building. There is a Juliet balcony underneath one of the upper floor windows, at the northern end of the property near the junction with Drayton Road.
- 2.4 The application includes indicative landscaping, and the provision of a single storey cycle and bin store to the Drayton Road frontage, at the rear of the property. It is proposed that 4 off-street vehicular parking spaces will be provided to the Drayton Road frontage.

2.3 The application is accompanied by a Design and Access Statement and existing and proposed floor plans and elevations. “

In paragraph **8.5** the report states that “The application does not contain details of the appearance of the building....” This last sentence of the paragraph is to be deleted/disregarded.

In paragraph **8.15** the report states that the proposal will result in “tandem parking.” This is incorrect and relates to the prior, Outline, Planning Permission. As the proposal is for Full Planning Permission this is to be disregarded. The paragraph should read “The spaces serving the proposed building, in addition to those relating to 2 Drayton Road, could result in additional manoeuvring within the street.”

In paragraph **8.18** the report details that the proposal is for the creation of three one-bedroom houses. This is incorrect and should state the proposal is for the creation of two, 2- bedroom flats.

Pre-Committee Amendments to Recommendation: None

DECISION:

This page is intentionally left blank